

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	10/538,044 06/08/2005		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,044			Osten Erikmats	3670-58		
23117	7590	08/18/2006	EXAMINER			
NIXON &		•	GREGORY, BERNARR E			
ARLINGTO		ROAD, 11TH FLOO 22203	K	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			3662		

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/538,0		ERIKMATS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Bernarr E	. Gregory	3662				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply peply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION /ent, however, may a reply be timus vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
•	•	2b)⊠ This action is a	non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the	application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from co	onsideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Application	on Papers							
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 200</u>	<u>5</u> is/are: a)∏ accep	ted or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	-						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:			-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority			on No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies		• •					
	application from the Internation	•		d in this National Stage				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action			d.				
_			•					
A 44	Val							
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
			· — — — ·					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/538,044

Art Unit: 3662

1. The drawings are objected to because certain box-type drawing elements lack descriptive labelling per 37 CFR 1.84(o). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

In Figure 5 of the drawings of 08 June 2005, box-type drawing element 9 must be descriptively labelled per 37 CFR 1.84(o).

In Figure 7 of the drawings of 08 June 2005, box-type drawing elements 15 and 18 must be descriptively labelled per 37 CFR 1.84(o).

For example, if a box-type drawing element were to represent an amplifier, then it ought to be labelled "AMPLIFIER" or bear an internationally-recognized

Application/Control Number: 10/538,044

Art Unit: 3662

schematic symbol that identifies what the box-type drawing element represents.

Correction is hereby **required**.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Throughout claims 1-15, the uses of "complex video signals" are indefinite and unclear in context. Does this means that the signals are in quadrature form? On lines 7-8 of independent claim 1, the phrase "the video signals being represented in a first channel (K1) and a second channel (K2)" is indefinite and unclear in context. Particularly, what is meant in context by signals "being represented in" a "channel"? The same problematic language occurs at lines 6-7 of independent claim 9.

On line 9 of claim 1, the phrase "arranged in such a way that ..." is indefinite and unclear in context in that the claim language fails to claim clearly and definitely the particular arrangement of the claimed "clutter-suppressing means" that achieves the expressed functional result.

On lines 13-14 of claim 1, the phrase "arranged to estimate ..." is indefinite and unclear in context in that the claim language fails to claim clearly and definitely the particular arrangement of the claimed "clutter-suppressing"

Application/Control Number: 10/538,044 Page 4

Art Unit: 3662

means" that achieves the expressed function result of estimating a complex constant (see line 14).

On line 16 of claim 1, the phrase "intended to suppress" is indefinite in context in that it expresses potential action rather than claiming action clearly and definitely.

On line 2 of dependent claim 4, the phrase "set up for estimating" is indefinite and unclear in that the claim language fails to claim clearly and definitely the "set up" of the "clutter-suppressing means" that achieves the expressed function result of estimating the complex constant.

On lines 2-3 of dependent claim 5, the phrase "set up for estimating" is indefinite and unclear in that the claim language fails to claim clearly and definitely the "set up" of the "clutter-suppressing means" that achieves the expressed function result of estimating the complex constant.

Similarly, in dependent claims 6 and 7, the phrases "set up for determining ..." and "set up for suppressing ..." are indefinite and unclear in context.

Dependent claims 2-8 and 10-15 are unclear in that they depend from unclear independent claims 1 and 9.

- 4. Claims 1 and 9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. Claims 2-8 and 10-15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,044 Page 5

Art Unit: 3662

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The examiner-cited prior art herewith is of general interest for showing the state of the related prior art.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernarr E. Gregory whose telephone number is (571) 272-6972. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza, can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernarr E. Gregory Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3662